

Annual (April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013) Performance Evaluation Report in respect of RFD 2012-2013 of RSCs i.e. Institutes

Name of the Division: Crop Science
Name of the Institution: Directorate of Sorghum Research, Hyderabad
RFD Nodal Officer: Dr Aruna C.

S N O	Objective	Wei ght	Action(s)	Success indicator(s)	Unit	Weig ht	Target/ Criteria value					Achie vemen ts	Performance		Percent Achieve ments vs Targets (90% col)	Reasons for shortfall/ excessive achievem ents, if applicabl e
							Excell ent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%		Raw score	Weigh ted score		
1	Enhancing sorghum production, productivity and quality	35	Collection/ augmenting/ evaluation/ utilization & documentation of genetic resources	New germplasm collected & augmented	Num ber	4	70	60	50	40	30	65	95	3.8	105	-
				Sorghum genetic resources for varietal improvement for biotic and abiotic stresses distributed	Num ber	4	3500	3000	2500	2000	1500	3000	90	3.6	100	-
			Development of parental lines/ varieties and superior hybrids with improved grain yield and quality	Superior experimental hybrids developed for higher protein digestibility and yield	Num ber	4	25	20	15	10	5	15	80	3.2	75	
			Rabi sorghum parental lines for desired stover/ grain quality and improved yield and quality	Num ber	5	50	40	30	25	20	43	93	4.7	105		
			Parents with good combing ability for stalk yield and Brix content identified	Num ber	3	4	3	2	1	-	3	90	2.7	100		
			Promising forage locals and sweet sorghum lines for forage purpose identified	Num ber	4	4	3	2	1	-	3	90	3.6	100		
			Sorghum lines evaluated to identify sources with improved tolerance to biotic & abiotic stresses	Num ber	5	250	200	150	125	100	215	93	4.7	105		

S N O	Objective	Wei ght	Action(s)	Success indicator(s)	Unit	Weig ht	Target/ Criteria value					Achie vements	Performance		Percent Achieve ments vs Targets (90% col)	Reasons for shortfall/ excessive achievem ents, if applicabl e
							Excell ent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%		Raw score	Weigh ted score		
			Improvement of sorghum through biotechnological tools	RILs for sorghum foliar disease resistance developed	Number	3	150	100	90	80	60	100	90	2.7	100	
				QTL introgression progenies for shoot fly resistance developed	Number	3	25	20	18	15	10	20	90	2.7	100	
2	Identification of tech. suitable for different ecological and socio-economic environment	20	Organization of All-India coordinated trials in different disciplines	Report preparation and presentation	Date	8	Apr30 2012	May2 2012	May3 2012	May4 2012	May5 2012	Apr29, 2012	100	8.0	100	-
				Promising entries promoted for further testing in advance trials	No.	7	7	5	4	3	2	5	90	6.3	100	
				Release proposals of promising varieties submitted to VIC	No.	5	3	2	1	-	-	3	100	5.0	100	
3	Validation and dissemination of technologies developed	18	Organization of FLDs	Coordination, monitoring, data collection and preparation of the FLDs conducted	No.	4	250	200	175	150	125	215	93	3.7	105	
				Organization of Breeder seed production	Tonnes	5	25	15	10	8	5	15	90	4.5	100	
				Research Publication	No.	4	20	15	10	8	5	17	94	3.8	105	
				Publication of Annual Report	Date	5	Jun30, 2012	Jul10 2012	Jul 15, 2012	Jul 20, 2012	Jul 25, 2012	July 5, 2012	95	4.8	100	

S N O	Objective	Wei ght	Action(s)	Success indicator(s)	Unit	Weig ht	Target/ Criteria value					Achie vements	Performance		Percent Achieve ments vs Targets (90% col)	Reasons for shortfall/ excessive achievem ents, if applicabl e
							Excell ent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%		Raw score	Weigh ted score		
4	Value addition, Entrepreneurship development (ED) and establishing business partnerships	15	Value addition through processing technologies on ready-to-eat /cook foods	Number of products standardized	No .	5	2	1	-	-	-	1	90	4.5	100	
			ED on processing technologies and strengthen the business partnerships with food industry in PPP mode	Number of participants benefitted	No .	7	400	300	250	200	150	325	92.5	6.5	105	
			MoU or business plan formats	No .	3	2	1	-	-	-	1	90	2.7	100		
5	Efficient functioning of RFD system	3	Timely submission of RFD for 2012-13	On-time submission	Date	2	March 23 2012	Mar 26 2012	March 27 2012	March 28 2012	March 29 2012	Mar 23, 2012	100	2.0		
			Timely submission of Results for 2012-13	On-time submission	Date	1	May 1 2013	May 2 2013	May 3 2013	May 6 2013	May 7 2013	May 1 2013	100	1.0		
	Administrative Reforms	5	Implement ISO 9001	Prepare ISO 9001 action plan	Date	1	Jun4, 2012	June 5, 2012	June 6, 2012	June 7, 2012	June 8, 2012	June 4, 2012	100	1.0		
				Implementation of ISO 9001 action plan	Date	2	25-3-2013	26-3-2013	27-3-2013	28-3-2013	29-3-2013			0.0		

S N O	Objective	Wei ght	Action(s)	Success indicator(s)	Unit	Weig ht	Target/ Criteria value					Achie vements	Performance		Percent Achieve ments vs Targets (90% col)	Reasons for shortfall/ excessive achievem ents, if applicabl e
							Excell ent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%		Raw score	Weigh ted score		
			Implement mitigating strategies for reducing potential risk of corruption	% of implementation	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2.0		
	Improving Internal Efficiency / responsive ness/ service delivery of Institute	4	Implementation of Sevottam	Create a Sevottam compliant system to implement, monitor and review Citizen's Charter	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2.0		
				Create a Sevottam compliant system to redress and monitor public grievances	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2.0		

Total Composite Score: ___91.5__

Rating: Very good

Procedure for computing the weighted and composite score

1. **Weighted Score of a Success Indicator= Weight of the corresponding Success Indicator x Raw Score/100**
2. **Total Composite Score= Sum of Weighted Scores of all the Success Indicators**